(Rev. 06/05) Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 1 - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Massachusetts

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ROSS ELLIOTT

JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE

Case Number: 1: 04 CR 10378 - 001 - GAO

USM Number: 25454-038

JOHN F. PALMER, ESQUIRE

			Defendant's Attorney	7		
Date of Original/Ame	nded Judgment:			[▼	Additional do	cuments attached
THE DEFENDAN	Т:					
pleaded guilty to cou		(Plea: 9/21/06)				
pleaded nolo contend which was accepted	lere to count(s)					
was found guilty on after a plea of not gu						
The defendant is adjudic	cated guilty of th	ese offenses:		Additional Counts -	See continuat	ion page
Title & Section	Nature of	Offense		Offense	Ended	Count
18 USC sec. 2252	(a)(4)(B) Poss	session of Child Pornography	y	12/22/0	03 1	
the Sentencing Reform. The defendant has be Count(s)	Act of 1984.			motion of the United S		
It is ordered that or mailing address until the defendant must notif	at the defendant national fines, restitution in the court and U	nust notify the United States a on, costs, and special assessme United States attorney of mate	attorney for this dist ents imposed by this erial changes in eco	trict within 30 days of s judgment are fully pa onomic circumstances.	any change of id. If ordered	name, residence, to pay restitution,
			01/16/07			
			Date of Imposition of J	_		
			/s/ George A. C	O'Toole, Jr		
			Signature of Judge	. ~		
				le George A. O'To	ole	
			Judge, U.S. D			
			Name and Title of Judg	-		
			January 17, 20	007		
			Date			

Case 1:04-cr-10378-GAO Document 31 Filed 01/17/2007 Page 2 of 10

(Rev. 06/05) Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 1A - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

DEFENDANT. ROSS ELLIOTT Judgment—Page ______ of _

DEFENDANT: **KUSS ELLIUTT**CASE NUMBER: **1: 04 CR 10378 - 001 - GAO**

ADDITIONAL COUNTS OF CONVICTION

<u>Title & Section</u> <u>Nature of Offense</u> <u>Offense Ended</u> <u>Count</u>

SAO 245B(05-MA)

(Rev. 06/05) Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 2 - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

	Judgment — Page of
DEFENDANT: ROSS ELLIOTT CASE NUMBER: 1: 04 CR 10378 - 001 - GAO	
IMPRISO	NMENT
The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United total term of: 24 month(s)	States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a
on count 1.	
✓ The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau	of Prisons:
The court recommends to the Bureau of Prisons that the which includes as a component sex offender counseling,	
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States N	Marshal.
The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for t	his district:
at □ a.m. □ p.m. □ p.m. □ as notified by the United States Marshal.	on
The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the inst	itution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:
before 2 p.m. on $02/16/07$.	
as notified by the United States Marshal.	
as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.	
RETU	URN
I have executed this judgment as follows:	
Defendant delivered on	to
a, with a certified copy	of this judgment.
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Ву _

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Case 1:04-cr-10378-GAO Document 31 Filed 01/17/2007 Page 4 of 10

[®]AO 245B(05-MA)

(Rev. 06/05) Judgment in a Criminal Case Sheet 3 - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

DEFENDANT: CASE NUMBER:	ROSS ELLIOTT 1: 04 CR 10378 - 001 - GAO SUPERVISED RELEASE	Judgment—Page 3 of See continuation page
Upon release from in	mprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a ter-	m of: 2 year(s)

The defendant must report to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

The defendant shall not commit another federal, state or local crime.

The defendant shall not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, not to exceed 104 tests per year, as directed by the probation officer.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
✓	The above drug testing condition is suspended, based on the court's determination that the defendant poses a low risk of future substance abuse. (Check, if applicable.)
\checkmark	The defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon. (Check, if applicable.)
\checkmark	The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable.)
	The defendant shall register with the state sex offender registration agency in the state where the defendant resides, works, or is a student, as directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable.)
	The defendant shall participate in an approved program for domestic violence. (Check, if applicable.)

If this judgment imposes a fine or restitution, it is a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay in accordance with the Schedule of Payments sheet of this judgment.

The defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court as well as with any additional conditions on the attached page.

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

- 1) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;
- 2) the defendant shall report to the probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month;
- 3) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;
- 4) the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;
- 5) the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation, unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;
- 6) the defendant shall notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to any change in residence or employment;
- 7) the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
- 8) the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- 9) the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony, unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- 10) the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view of the probation officer;
- 11) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
- 12) the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court; and
- as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

SAO 245B(05-MA) Case 1:04-cr-10378-GAO Document 31

Filed 01/17/2007

Page 5 of 10

Sheet 4A - Continuation Page - Supervised Release/Probation -10/05

Judgment—Page _ 4 of

ROSS ELLIOTT DEFENDANT:

CASE NUMBER: 1: 04 CR 10378 - 001 - GAO

ADDITIONAL ✓ SUPERVISED RELEASE ☐ PROBATION TERMS

The defendant is to participate in a mental health treatment program as directed by Probation. The defendant shall be required to contribute to the costs of services for such treatment based on the ability to pay or availability of third-party payment.

The defendant is to participate in a sex offender specific treatment program which may include sex offender specific testing at the direction of the Probation Office. The defendant shall be required to contribute to the costs of services for such treatment based on the ability to pay or availability of third-party payment.

The defendant shall be required to submit to periodic polygraph testing as a means to insure that he is in compliance with the requirements of his therapeutic program. No violation proceedings will arise based solely on a defendant's failure to "pass" the polygraph. Such an event could, however, generate a separate investigation. When submitting to a polygraph exam, the defendant does not waive his Fifth Amendment rights, and the defendant's exercise of his Fifth amendment rights will not give rise to violation proceedings. The defendant shall be required to contribute to the costs of testing based on the ability to pay or availability of third-party payment.

Continuation of Conditions of **✓** Supervised Release **☐** Probation

The defendant is prohibited from possessing a computer and/or related materials except as deemed necessary for work purposes.

The defendant shall report his address, and any subsequent address changes, to the Probation Office.

The defendant shall register as a sex offender as required in any state where he resides, is employed, carries on a vocation, or is a student.

DEFENDANT:

Filed 01/17/2007

Page 6 of 10

Judgment — Page ____

5 of _

ROSS ELLIOTT

CASE NUMBER: 1: 04 CR 10378 - 001 - GAO

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defendant must pay the total criminal monetary penalties under the schedule of payments on Sheet 6.

тот	ALS S	Assessment \$10	00.00	Fine \$		Restitution \$	
a	nfter such det Γhe defendar	ermination. It must make resti	tution (including com	nmunity restituti	on) to the following pay	yees in the amount	O 245C) will be entered listed below. Pless specified otherwise in ederal victims must be paid
Name	e of Payee		Total Loss*		Restitution Ordered	<u>P1</u>	riority or Percentage
							See Continuation Page
TOT	ALS	\$	\$	<u>(0.00</u> \$	Φ0	0.00	
	Restitution a	mount ordered pu	ırsuant to plea agreen	nent \$			
ш	fifteenth day	after the date of		nt to 18 U.S.C.	nan \$2,500, unless the ro § 3612(f). All of the pa 612(g).		•
	The court de	etermined that the	defendant does not h	ave the ability t	o pay interest and it is o	ordered that:	
	the inter	rest requirement is	s waived for the	fine r	estitution.		
	the inter	rest requirement f	for the fine	restitution	is modified as follows:		

^{*} Findings for the total amount of losses are required under Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18 for offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994, but before April 23, 1996.

Attachment (Page 1) — Statement of Reasons - D. Massachusetts - 10/05

DEFENDANT: ROSS ELLIOTT

CASE NUMBER: 1: 04 CR 10378 - 001 - GAO

DISTRICT: MASSACHUSETTS

I

II

Ш

Fine Range: \$ 6,000

STATEMENT OF REASONS

Judgment — Page 6 of

A		The court adopts the presentence investigation report without change.
В	√	The court adopts the presentence investigation report with the following changes. (Check all that apply and specify court determination, findings, or comments, referencing paragraph numbers in the presentence report, if applicable.) (Use Section VIII if necessary.)
	1	Chapter Two of the U.S.S.G. Manual determinations by court (including changes to base offense level, or specific offense characteristics):
		At the govt's concession, the adjustments to offense level proposed in paragraphs 25 & 27 are not made.
	2	Chapter Three of the U.S.S.G. Manual determinations by court (including changes to victim-related adjustments, role in the offense, obstruction of justice, multiple counts, or acceptance of responsibility):
	3	Chapter Four of the U.S.S.G. Manual determinations by court (including changes to criminal history category or scores, career offender, or criminal livelihood determinations):
	4	Additional Comments or Findings (including comments or factual findings concerning certain information in the presentence report that the Federal Bureau of Prisons may rely on when it makes inmate classification, designation, or programming decisions):
C		The record establishes no need for a presentence investigation report pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.
CO	OURT	FINDING ON MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCE (Check all that apply.)
A		No count of conviction carries a mandatory minimum sentence.
В		Mandatory minimum sentence imposed.
С		One or more counts of conviction alleged in the indictment carry a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment, but the sentence imposed is below a mandatory minimum term because the court has determined that the mandatory minimum does not apply based on
		findings of fact in this case
		substantial assistance (18 U.S.C. § 3553(e))
		the statutory safety valve (18 U.S.C. § 3553(f))
CO	OURT	DETERMINATION OF ADVISORY GUIDELINE RANGE (BEFORE DEPARTURES):
Cr Im	iminal prisom	Pense Level: History Category: I ment Range: 27 to 33 months ed Release Range: 2 to 3 years

to \$ 60,000

 \square Fine waived or below the guideline range because of inability to pay.

Judgment — Page 7 of

DEFENDANT: ROSS ELLIOTT

CASE NUMBER: 1: 04 CR 10378 - 001 - GAO

DISTRICT: MASSACHUSETTS

STATEMENT OF REASONS

IV	ADVISORY GUIDELINE SENTENCING DETERMINATION (Check only one.)													
	Α [The	The sentence is within an advisory guideline range that is not greater than 24 months, and the court finds no reason to depart.											
	В	B												
	С [The court departs from the advisory guideline range for reasons authorized by the sentencing guidelines manual. (Also complete Section V.)											
	D 🗸	Z The	e court	imposed a sentence outsid	le the	advisory	sentencing guideline system. (Als	so complet	e Section V	I.)				
V	DEP.	ARTUR	ES A	UTHORIZED BY TH	HE A	ADVISO	ORY SENTENCING GUID	ELINE	S (If appl	icable.)				
	A]	below	the a	nposed departs (Chedvisory guideline rang dvisory guideline rang	ge	nly one.):							
	В І	Departur	e base	ed on (Check all that a	apply	7.):								
	 □ 5K1.1 plea agreement based of □ 5K3.1 plea agreement based of □ binding plea agreement for definition plea agreement for departure, 						that apply and check reason(s) below.): based on the defendant's substantial assistance based on Early Disposition or "Fast-track" Program for departure accepted by the court farture, which the court finds to be reasonable tes that the government will not oppose a defense departure motion.							
	3		Mot	5K1.1 government n 5K3.1 government n government motion i defense motion for d defense motion for d	n a Plea Agreement (Check all that apply and check reason(s) below.): motion based on the defendant's substantial assistance motion based on Early Disposition or "Fast-track" program for departure departure to which the government did not object departure to which the government objected									
		•		Other than a plea agr	on(s) below.):									
	C	Reason(s) for	Departure (Check al	l tha	t apply	other than 5K1.1 or 5K3.1.)							
	4A1.3 5H1.1 5H1.2 5H1.3 5H1.4 5H1.5 5H1.6 5H1.11	Age Educatio Mental a Physical Employn Family T Military 3 Good Wo	n and V nd Emo Condit nent Re Ties and Record			5K2.1 5K2.2 5K2.3 5K2.4 5K2.5 5K2.6 5K2.7 5K2.8 5K2.9	Death Physical Injury Extreme Psychological Injury Abduction or Unlawful Restraint Property Damage or Loss Weapon or Dangerous Weapon Disruption of Government Function Extreme Conduct Criminal Purpose Victim's Conduct		5K2.12 5K2.13 5K2.14 5K2.16 5K2.17 5K2.18 5K2.20 5K2.21 5K2.21 5K2.22	•				

Attachment (Page 3) — Statement of Reasons - D. Massachusetts 10/05

Judgment — Page **ROSS ELLIOTT** of **DEFENDANT:**

CASE NUMBER: 1: 04 CR 10378 - 001 - GAO

DISTRICT: **MASSACHUSETTS**

VI

		STATEMENT OF REASONS
	OURT DETE	RMINATION FOR SENTENCE OUTSIDE THE ADVISORY GUIDELINE SYSTEM pply.)
A	✓ below the	nce imposed is (Check only one.): he advisory guideline range he advisory guideline range
В	Sentence i	mposed pursuant to (Check all that apply.):
	[[Plea Agreement (Check all that apply and check reason(s) below.): binding plea agreement for a sentence outside the advisory guideline system accepted by the court plea agreement for a sentence outside the advisory guideline system, which the court finds to be reasonable plea agreement that states that the government will not oppose a defense motion to the court to sentence outside the advisory guideline system
		Motion Not Addressed in a Plea Agreement (Check all that apply and check reason(s) below.): government motion for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system defense motion for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system to which the government did not object defense motion for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system to which the government objected
		Other Other than a plea agreement or motion by the parties for a sentence outside of the advisory guideline system (Check reason(s) below.)
C	Reason(s)	for Sentence Outside the Advisory Guideline System (Check all that apply.)
	to reflect to afford to protect to provid (18 U.S.) to avoid	re and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1) at the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(A)) adequate deterrence to criminal conduct (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(B)) at the public from further crimes of the defendant (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(C)) detended educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner C. § 3553(a)(2)(D)) unwarranted sentencing disparities among defendants (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(6)) detended education to any victims of the offense (18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(7))
D	Explain th	ne facts justifying a sentence outside the advisory guideline system. (UseSection VIII if necessary.)

The impact of the defendant's psychiatric disorder is taken into account. See further statement of reasons stated in open court. A transcript is attached.

ROSS ELLIOTT

Judgment — Page

of

DEFENDANT:

CASE NUMBER: 1: 04 CR 10378 - 001 - GAO

DISTRICT:

MASSACHUSETTS

STATEMENT OF REASONS

VII	COU	URT	DET:	ERMIN	IATI(ONS O	F RES	TITUT	ΓΙΟΝ				
	A		Res	titution	Not A	pplica	ble.						
	B Total Amount of Restitution:												
	C	Res	titutio	n not or	dered	(Chec	k only o	one.):					
		1								•			3663A, restitution is not ordered because the number of U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(A).
		2		issues of	fact an	d relatin	g them to	the caus	se or amo	ount of the	e victims'	losses	§ 3663A, restitution is not ordered because determining complex swould complicate or prolong the sentencing process to a degree the burden on the sentencing process under 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(B).
		3		ordered b	oecause	the com	plication	and pro	longation	of the se		process	3 and/or required by the sentencing guidelines, restitution is not as resulting from the fashioning of a restitution order outweigh B)(ii).
		4		Restitution	on is no	ot ordere	d for othe	r reasons	s. (Expla	nin.)			
	D		Part	tial resti	tution	is orde	ered for	these 1	reasons	(18 U.	S.C. § 3.	553(c	c)):
VIII	ADI	OITIO	ONAl	L FACT	rs Ju	STIFY	ING T	HE SI	ENTEN	NCE IN	THIS	CASI	E (If applicable.)
			Se	ections I	, II, II	I, IV, a	nd VII	of the	Stateme	ent of F	Reasons	form	must be completed in all felony cases.
Defe	ndant	's So	c. Sec	. No.:	000-	00-856	51						Date of Imposition of Judgment 01/16/07
Defe	ndant	's Da	te of	Birth:	00-0	0-1957	7						/s/ George A. O'Toole, Jr
Defe	ndant	's Re	siden	ce Addr			am Street					ТЬ	Signature of Judge Honorable George A. O'Toole Judge, U.S. District Court
Defe	ndant	's Ma	iling	Address	s:	same as						1110	Name and Title of Judge Date Signed January 17, 2007

011607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 1 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 5 Plaintiff, Criminal Action No. 03-10378-GA0 6 VS. 7 8 ROSS ELLIOTT, 9 Defendant. 10 11 TRANSCRIPT OF STATEMENT OF REASONS 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE GEORGE A. O'TOOLE, JR. 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 United States District Court 16 John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way Boston, Massachusetts 02210 17 January 16, 2007 3: 05 p.m. 18 19 20 * * * * * * 21 22 SHELLY M. KILLIAN, CM Official Court Reporter John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way, Room 3510 Boston, MA 02210 (617) 737-7117 23 24 25 2 APPEARANCES: 1 2 For the Plaintiff: 3 Christopher Bator United States Attorney's Office John Joseph Moakley Federal Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200 4

Page 1

5	011607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt Boston, Massachusetts 02210
6	For the Defendant:
7	John F. Palmer, Esq.
8	Law Office of John F. Palmer, P.C. 24 School Street, 8th Floor
9	Boston, Massachusetts 02108
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	3
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(The following proceedings were held in open court
3	before the Honorable George A. O'Toole, Jr., United States
4	District Judge, United States District Court, District of
5	Massachusetts, at the John J. Moakley United States Courthouse,
6	1 Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts, on January 16, 2007.
7	The defendant, Richard Cassano, is present with
8	counsel. Assistant United States Attorney Christopher Bator is
9	present.)

011607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt $(Statement\ of\ Reasons\ begins.)$ 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 As I've mentioned, there are a number of factors in 12 13 the statute that require attention. We've given attention to 14 the advice from the quidelines, which are two of the quidelines and relevant policy statements, which are two aspects of the 15 16 Let me address some of the others. 17 The nature and circumstances of the offense. 18 The -- I think the thing or some of the things that occur to me 19 about the offense as it appears from the offense -- statement 20 of offense conduct is, first, the relatively large number of 21 images that were I guess, A, downloaded and, perhaps more 22 important, saved. I think one thing that strikes me about the 23 circumstances of this offense is the saving, preserving of the 24 images both on CD and there's evidence or information in the 25 record about printing off copies on paper copies and so on. 1 The collection of images apparently spanned at least a couple 2 of years, perhaps a little longer. And so there's a 3 persistence and almost a kind of dedication to the research and 4 saving the fruits of the research, which I think have to be 5 taken account of. 6 The second factor is the history and 7 characteristics of the defendant. Of course, as we've noted, 8 there's no prior criminal history, which is a significant 9 factor in his favor, nor is there any indication in the 10 information presented of any other kind of anti-social behavior 11 or activity by the defendant. He's had steady employment and 12 stable family life and so on. All of those things count in his 13 favor. 14 There is the question of what psychiatric or

O11607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt psychological disorders may be present. There's evidence not only of recent diagnosis but also remote past diagnosis, which raises the question of what role any such disorder might have played in the defendant's commission in the offense. And I'll come back to that in a minute.

The third factor enumerated in the statute asks that the Court consider the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote the respect for the law, provide just punishment, afford an adequate deterrence, protect the public from further crimes by the defendant, and provide the defendant with needed educational, vocational,

medical or other correctional treatment. I don't think there can be any doubt, even if there might be disagreement, that the -- from the penalties that are prescribed and recommended, that both the Congress and the sentencing commission regard the offense of conviction as a very serious one and calls for a serious, substantial penalty including, usually, incarceration.

Under the guidelines, for example, even the offense at its simplest level, that is, the base offense -- if you took just the base offense level at 15 and acceptance of responsibility, an adjustment of two levels at that point down to 13. Under the sentencing grid, the penalty would still fall within Zone D with a recommended range of 12 to 18 months. So that's even the unenhanced level of the offense. So I think from that and from the whole structure of the penalties prescribed first by Congress and then responded to by the commission indicates that those bodies have, paying particular attention to the Congressional view, have indicated that a serious penalty is necessary in order to recognize the

011607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt seriousness of the offense, provide just punishment for it, and promote respect for the law.

I think deterrence is also an important consideration in these offenses, in this offense. The penalty, of course, must be sufficient to deter this defendant from any return to similar offense conduct. I understand what

Mr. Palmer said about the chastening effects of the fact of getting caught and pleading guilty. And those are not -- I don't minimize those. On the other hand, I think even where those things are present, it is not unreasonable to consider that a penalty has to be sufficient to deter future succumbing to the same kind of impulses, which can, we know from experience in these cases, can be quite strong and sometimes overpowering. And so that it does make sense to have a serious penalty to reinforce whatever internal resolution there is to remain -- or to avoid committing the offense again.

Deterrence of others I think is also a significant consideration. I'm not sure that imposing severe sentences on one offender has much effect in warning off other offenders. I am concerned in the other direction, that insufficiently punishing a given offender may encourage others or may signal that they may escape serious punishment.

Another factor in the statute is the kind of sentences available. And I recognize, as the defense has argued, that there's a wide range that's open on this occasion, including not only incarceration but home confinement, community confinement, and other combinations of penalty.

And the last factor I'll mention is the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparity among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct.

011607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt
This is related closely to the requirement of the statute that

take account of what the recommendation is from the guidelines
because the guidelines themselves operate imperfectly,
admittedly, but with some effectiveness to try to do exactly
what this factor calls for. And that is to try to routinize
sentencing decisions to the point where unwarranted sentencing
disparities can sought to be avoided. So taking seriously the

disparities can sought to be avoided. So taking seriously the

guidelines helps to accomplish that.

Now, so I think it's fair to say, then, that I think a sentence of incarceration is appropriate. Exactly what I evel it should be, I think the government makes a reasonable recommendation. The one concern I have is whether there is a reason to depart downwardly within the guidelines regime, not as a variance from under the Booker theory, but within the regime of the guidelines under 5K2.13, diminished capacity, which permits a departure if a substantially reduced mental capacity contributed to the commission of the offense.

I think there is a real issue of psychiatric involvement here as identified by the report. I would like to have had more information about it. I'm not sure how -- it's a little speculative. But there is an interesting observation by Dr. Edersheim that makes this a little -- this case a little bit different from other cases of this sort, of this offense. And I'm quoting from a part of the report that I think is not included in the PSR. Dr. Edersheim says, "His descriptions of downloading images are more consistent with the gratification

of obsessive and compulsive anxieties rather than primarily

sexual ones. And he repeatedly denied the ability or the

desire to achieve sexual gratification from these images." Page 6

011607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt 4 Now, she cautions that this is based solely on the interview 5 and the report of the defendant, but it's also consistent with 6 her other observations. 7 And I think that does distinguish the case a little 8 bit from the usual case, if I can say that, under the 9 So I'm inclined to depart slightly to recognize gui del i nes. 10 that factor. 11 PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, I hate to interrupt 12 but I just want to point out that 5K2. -- if you're going to do a guideline departure, 5K2.13 departure is specifically 13 prohibited in this type of case, even in the old version of the 14 15 qui del i nes. 16 THE COURT: Give me the reference. I thought I 17 looked at that and concluded that that was not the case. 18 PROBATION OFFICER: 5K2.13 in the second paragraph 19 where it says, "However the Court may not depart below the 20 applicable guideline range," and the fourth one listed is if 21 the defendant's been convicted of an offense and this offense 22 is a chapter 110 offense. THE COURT: I think you're right. 23 24 MR. PALMER: Well, I think the Court can still under the present regime impose what --25 9 1 THE COURT: I can still do it as a variance. I 2 think I could then. Right. I was going to stay within the 3 guidelines, but I think I'll do that. The only other -- and 4 this is not a significant one -- is really to recognize the 5 factor but not to overplay it. So I'm going to depart from the 6 low end of the guideline range only three months to 24 months.

Let me just as a final statement say I considered also the question, because I think it's raised by the Page 7

7

8

011607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt

defendant, of whether family ties and responsibilities and so on might be a reason for departing. Also under the guidelines not -- within the guidelines not permitted. And I'm not persuaded that this case is unusual in that respect. It is unfortunately a recurring consequence of criminal sentencing that there are innocent people in the family who suffer as a consequence of what needs to be done to justly punish an offender. So I would not be persuaded on that ground.

So, Mr. Elliott, if you'd stand, please.

Ross Elliott, on your conviction of this offense and pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of the Court that you be and you hereby are committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 24 months. I will make a recommendation that you participate in mental health treatment, which includes as a component sex offender counseling if available at the designated Bureau of Prisons facilities where you are

i ncarcerated.

Upon your release from imprisonment, you shall be placed on supervised release for a term of two years. Within 72 hours of your release from custody, you shall report in person to the district to which you've been released. While you're on supervised release, you shall comply with all the standard conditions that pertain to that status that are set forth in the sentencing guidelines at Section 5D1.3(c). They're incorporated by reference and will be set forth in the written judgment.

In addition to those conditions, while you're on supervised release, you shall comply with the following special conditions: You shall not commit any other federal, state, or Page 8

011607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt

local crime; you shall not illegally possess a controlled substance. There's no evidence of any substance abuse or involvement with illegal drugs, so I'll suspend the usual drug testing conditions for this defendant. You shall submit to a collection of a DNA sample as directed by the probation office.

You are prohibited from possessing a firearm, destructive device or other dangerous weapon. If directed to do so by the probation office, you're to participate in a mental health treatment program; and you may be required to contribute to the cost of such program based on the ability to pay or availability of third-party payment. You are also, if

directed to do so by the probation office, to participate in a sex offender specific treatment program and may also be required to contribute to the cost of such a program based on your ability to pay or the availability of third-party payment.

You shall be required to submit to periodic polygraph testing as a means to ensure that are in compliance with the requirement of your therapeutic program. No violation proceedings will arise based solely on your failure to pass a polygraph test, but such an event could generate a separate investigation. While you're submitting to a polygraph test, you do not waive your Fifth Amendment rights and your exercise -- any exercise of your Fifth Amendment rights will not give rise to any violation proceedings. Again, you may be required to contribute to the cost of any such testing based on your ability to pay or the availability of third-party payment.

You are prohibited from possessing a computer and/or related items or materials except as may be necessary Page 9

011607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt 19 for your employment. You shall report any address changes to 20 the probation office promptly. If required to do so by the 21 state law where you reside, you are required as a condition of 22 your supervised release to register as a sex offender. 23 Wherever state law requires it. 24 I will not impose a monetary fine. There is a 25 mandatory special assessment in the sum of \$100, which is due 12 1 forthwith. 2 Now, there was a request for self-report. 3 No objection, your Honor. MR. BATOR: 4 THE COURT: Okay, if there's no objection from the 5 government. Four weeks. THE CLERK: Friday, February 16th, by noon, 6 7 12:00 p.m. 8 MR. BATOR: Your Honor, one question. Is defendant 9 scheduled -- would be scheduled during this period for any 10 further mental health treatment during the -- before the time 11 between now and the time he reports? 12 THE COURT: You mean by terms of already existing 13 pretrial release? 14 MR. BATOR: Either by pretrial or based on his own 15 private arrangements. It strikes me it may be useful simply that there be some contact between --16 17 THE COURT: I don't have the pretrial conditions. 18 Do you have a copy of the pretrial? 19 PROBATION OFFICER: I'll see if they're in the file. 20 21 MR. BATOR: I believe it's indicated as if deemed 22 appropriate. I don't know if he had been currently receiving 23 some treatment.

	011607 usa vs. elliott SOR (F).txt
24	THE COURT: Do you know, Mr. Palmer?
25	MR. PALMER: He's not presently receiving treatment
	12
4	13
1	but I did have well, a while ago I had conversations with
2	pretrial services. They were recommending it but they never
3	they suggested that I try and get it so
4	THE COURT: Well, I don't think it's worth
5	initiating for the period of time between now and the report.
6	I think it will simply be that the conditions that are in
7	effect for pretrial release of course continue until he
8	surrenders. The surrender will, of course, be at an
9	institution as designated by the Bureau. It won't be here; it
10	will be wherever he's designated.
11	MR. PALMER: Will he continue to be reporting to
12	pretri al servi ces?
13	THE COURT: Abide by all the conditions that he's
14	been abiding by.
15	THE CLERK: Ross Elliott, you have a right to file
16	a notice of appeal in this case. If you do wish to file an
17	appeal, you must file it within ten days from the date the
18	judgment is entered. If you cannot afford an attorney to file
19	the appeal on your behalf, you may request the clerk of the
20	Court to file the appeal for you and I will do so.
21	Do you understand, sir?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess.
24	(Recessed, 4:13 p.m.)
25	